stamps for $d=2$, curves 3 and 4 for $d=2.5$ and the values of the remaining parameters are $l=4, d_{0}=12.5, d_{1}=10$.
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# ON THE NON-LINEAR BOUNDARY EQUATIONS OF THE MECHANICS OF THE CONTACT OF ROUGH ELASTIC BODIES* 

B.A. GALANOV

As a generalization of the results of $/ 1 /$, the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the contact problem of several rough elastic bodies (in the example of the contact of three bodies is proved). The method of nonlinear boundary equations is used, which (like the variational method $/ 2-5 /$ ) enables an effective investigation to be made of the correctness of the problem of body contact with unknown contact domains.

1. Formulation of the problem. Let $O x y z$ be a Cartesian rectangular coordinate system, $M(x, y)$ a point in the plane $E_{2}=\{z=0\}$ with the coordinates $x_{;} y$, mes $\{\omega\}$ the Lebesgue measure of the set $\omega \subset E_{2}: \Delta^{2}$ the biharmonic operator, $\operatorname{supp} v(M)$ a carrier of the function $v(M), Q$ an operator setting the function $v(M), M \in \Omega$ in correspondence with the function $v^{+}(M), M \in \Omega$ according to the rule $v^{+} \equiv Q v=\sup \{v(M), 0\}$, and $L_{r, 2}=L_{r, 2}$ ( $\Omega$ ) a Banch space of the vector-functions $v(M)=\left(v_{1}(M), v_{2}(M)\right)$ (defined in the domain $\left.\Omega \subset E_{2}\right)$ with the norm

$$
\|v(M)\|=\left(\int _ { Q } \left(\| v_{1}(M)\left|+\left|v_{2}(M)\right|^{r} d S_{M}\right)^{1 / r}, \quad r \geqslant 1\right.\right.
$$

For $r=2$ the space $L_{r, 2}$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$
(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{1}(M) v_{1}(M)+u_{2}(M) v_{2}(M)\right) d S_{M}
$$

The linear operator $K$ acting from the Banach space $E$ on the conjugate space $E^{*}$ to $E$ is called strictly positive if $(K v, v)>0$, and the equality $(K v, v)=0$ is possible if and only if $v=0 / 6 /\left((u, v)\right.$ is the value of the linear continuous functional $u \in E^{*}$ at the element $v \in E$.

We will consider the (frictionless) contact problem of an elastic body 1 and elastic halfspace 3 with a plate 2 located between them (see the sketch, the $y$ axis is perpendicular to the plane of the sketch). As a boundary value problem it reduces (with known assumptions) to the construction of the respective harmonic functions $u_{1}(x, y, z), u_{3}(x, y, z)$ in the half-spaces
$\overline{* P r i k 1 . M a t e m . M e k h a n ., 50,3,470-474,1986 ~}$
$z<-t-\delta$ and $z>0,\left(u_{i}(x, y, z)=O\left(R^{-1}\right)\right.$ for $\left.R \rightarrow \infty, R=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2},}, i=1,3\right)$ of the solution $u_{2}(x, y)$ of the equation

$$
\Delta^{2} u_{2}(x, y)=\lambda_{2}\left(u_{1 z}{ }^{\prime}(x, y,-t-\delta)-u_{3 z}{ }^{\prime}(x, y, 0)\right), M(x, y) \in \Omega
$$

and to the determination of the plane closed domains $S_{1} \subset \Omega, S_{2} \subset \Omega$ and the scalar quantity $h$ from the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=-t-\delta, \Phi_{1}\left(u_{1 z}{ }^{\prime}\right)+2 \pi \lambda_{1} u_{1}+u_{2}=q(M), u_{1 z}{ }^{\prime}> \\
& 0 \quad\left(M(x, y) \in S_{1}\right) \\
& \Phi_{1}\left(u_{1 z}{ }^{\prime}\right)+2 \pi \lambda_{1} u_{1}+u_{2}>q(M), \quad u_{1_{z}}^{\prime}=0\left(M(x, y) \models\left(\Omega \backslash S_{i}\right)\right) \\
& u_{1 z}{ }^{t}=0\left(M(x, y) \in\left(E_{2} \backslash \Omega\right)\right) \quad\left(q(x, y)=h-f(x, y) \in L_{r}(\Omega)\right) \\
& z=0, \Phi_{2}\left(u_{s_{2}}{ }^{\prime}\right)+2 \pi \lambda_{3} u_{3}-u_{2}=-\delta, u_{3_{2}}{ }^{\prime} \geqslant 0 \quad(M(x, y) 巨 \\
& S_{2} \text { ) } \\
& \Phi_{2}\left(u_{s_{q}}{ }^{\prime}\right)+2 \pi \lambda_{3} u_{3}-u_{2}>-\delta, u_{s_{z}}{ }^{\prime}=0\left(M(x, y) \in\left(\Omega \backslash S_{2}\right)\right) \\
& u_{3 a^{*}}{ }^{\prime}=0\left(M(x, y) \in\left(E_{2} \backslash \Omega\right)\right) \\
& \iint_{S_{1}} u_{1_{z}}{ }^{\prime}(x, y,-t-\delta) d x d y=P,\left.\quad u_{2}\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial n}\right|_{\Gamma}=0
\end{aligned}
$$



Here $\Omega \subset E_{2}$ is a bounded domain with boundary $\Gamma, n$ is the unit vector of the external normal to the contour $\Gamma, u_{i z}^{\prime}$ is the derivative of the function $u_{i}(i=1,3)$ with respect to $z, \Phi_{i}\left(p_{i}\right),-\infty<p_{i}<\infty(i=1,2)$ are strictly increasing continuous functions and $\Phi_{i}(0)=0$, $\lambda_{i}>0(i=1,2,3)$ are constants, $t>0$ is the plate thickness, $\delta \geqslant 0$ is the gap between the plate 2 and the half-space 3 , and $h>0$ is a rigid displacement of the body 1 along the $z$ axis caused by a force $P>0$ acting on the body 1. The function $f(x, y)>0$ determines the gap between the plate the body 1 until their deformation (sketch), i.e., for $h=0$ and $P=0$. The orthogonal projections of the sets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, on the planes $z=-t-\delta$ and $z=-\delta$, respectively, are those parts of the plate surface that come into contact with the body $I$ and half-space 3 after deformation. The functions $v_{i}=\Phi_{i}\left(p_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$ describe the mechanical characteristics of the roughness of the bodies. Henceforth, $p_{i}=F_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)$ denotes the inverse function for $v_{i}=\Phi_{i}\left(p_{i}\right)$

If the potentials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(x, y, z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S_{1}} \frac{p_{1}(\xi, \eta) d \xi d \eta}{\left[(x-\xi)^{2}+(y-\eta)^{2}+(z+t+\delta)^{3}\right]^{1 / 2}}, \\
& z \leqslant-t-\delta \\
& u_{2}(x, y)=\lambda_{2} \iint_{S_{1}} G(x, y, \xi, \eta) p_{1}(\xi, \eta) d \xi d \eta- \\
& \quad \lambda_{2} \iint_{S_{2}} G(x, y, \xi, \eta) p_{2}(\xi, \eta) d \xi d \eta \\
& u_{3}(x, y, z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \iint_{S_{z}} \frac{p_{2}(\xi, \eta) d \xi d \eta}{\left[(x-\xi)^{2}+(y-\eta)^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}, \quad z \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

are introduced $\left(G(x, y, \xi, \eta)\right.$ is Green's function for the boundary value problem $\Delta^{2} w(M)=0$, $M \in \Omega ;\left.w\right|_{\Gamma}=\partial w /\left.\partial n\right|_{\Gamma}=0$ ) and we use the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(M, N)=\left[(x-\xi)^{2}+(y-\eta)^{2}\right]^{-1 / k} \\
& H p_{i}=\int_{S_{i}} H(M, N) p_{i}(N) d S_{N}, \quad G p_{i}=\int_{S_{i}} G(M, N) p_{i}(N) d S_{N} \\
& I p_{1}=\int_{S_{1}} p_{i}(N) d S_{N} ; \quad M(x, y) \in \Omega, \quad N(\xi, \eta) \in S_{i}, \quad i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

then problem (1.1) is eqtivalent to the problem of seeking the contact pressures $p_{1}(M), p_{2}(M)$,
the sets $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and the quantity $h$ from the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)+\left(\lambda_{1} H+\lambda_{2} G\right) p_{1}-\lambda_{2} G p_{2}=q ; \quad p_{1} \geqslant 0 \quad\left(M \in S_{1}\right) \\
& \Phi_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)+\left(\lambda_{1} H+\lambda_{2} G\right) p_{1}-\lambda_{2} G p_{2}>q ; p_{1}=0\left(M \in\left(\Omega \backslash S_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \Phi_{2}\left(p_{2}\right)-\lambda_{2} G p_{1}+\left(\lambda_{3} H+\lambda_{2} G\right) p_{2}=-\delta ; p_{2} \geqslant 0 \quad\left(M \in S_{2}\right) \\
& \Phi_{2}\left(p_{2}\right)-\lambda_{2} G p_{1}+\left(\lambda_{3} H+\lambda_{2} G\right) p_{2}>-\delta ; p_{2}=0\left(M \in\left(\Omega \backslash S_{2}\right)\right) \\
& I p_{1}=p
\end{aligned}
$$

We introduce the vectors and the symmetric second-order matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(M)=(q(M),-\delta), v(M)=\left(v_{1}(M), v_{2}(M)\right), F(v(M))= \\
& \left(F_{1}\left(v_{1}(M)\right), F_{2}\left(v_{2}(M)\right)\right), Q(F(v(M)))=\left(Q\left(F_{1}\left(v_{1}(M)\right)\right) ;\right. \\
& \left.Q\left(F_{2}\left(v_{2}(M)\right)\right)\right) \\
& K(M, N)=\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{\lambda}_{1} H(M, N)+x & -x \\
-x & \bar{\lambda}_{3} H(M, N)+x
\end{array}\right\| \\
& x=\bar{\lambda}_{2} G(M, N), \bar{\lambda}_{i}=\lambda_{i} / \lambda, \lambda=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}, i=1,2,3
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider the system of non-linear equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v(M)+\lambda \int_{\Omega} K(M, N) Q(F(v(N))) d S_{N}=g(M) \\
& \int_{\Omega} Q\left(F_{1}\left(v_{1}(N)\right)\right) d S_{N}=P ; \quad M, N \in \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

in the unknown vector function $v(M)$ and the scalar $h$, which we will write in operator form for convenience

$$
\begin{equation*}
v+\lambda K Q F v=g, I Q F_{1} v_{1}=P \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. If $\left(v_{1}{ }^{*}, v_{2}^{*}, h\right)$ is a solution of system (1.3), then ( $p_{1}=Q F_{1} v_{1}{ }^{*}, p_{2}=Q F_{2} v_{2}{ }^{*}$, $\left.S_{1}=\left\{M: v_{1}{ }^{*} \geqslant 0\right\}, S_{2}=\left\{M: v_{2}{ }^{*} \geqslant 0\right\}, h\right)$ is a solution of system (1.2), where $\operatorname{supp} p_{i}(M) \subseteq S_{i}$, $i=1,2$. Conversely, if ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}, h$ ) is a solution of system (1.2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{*}=g-\lambda K Q p\left(M \in \Omega, N \in S_{t}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the triple $\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{2}^{*}, h\right)$ is a solution of system (1.3). The domains $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ can be multiconnected.

Proof. To reduce the writing, we use the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1}=S_{1} \cap S_{2} ; \omega_{2}=S_{2} \cap\left(\Omega \backslash S_{1}\right) ; \omega_{8}=S_{1} \cap\left(\Omega \backslash S_{2}\right) ; \\
& \omega_{4}=\Omega \backslash\left(S_{1} \cup S_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left(v_{1}{ }^{*}, v_{2}{ }^{*}, h\right)$ be the solution of system (1.3) and $M \in \omega_{1}$. The relationships

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=Q F_{1} v_{1}^{*} \geqslant 0, p_{2}=Q F_{2} v_{2}^{*} \geqslant 0, \Phi_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)+\left(\lambda_{1} H+\right. \\
& \left.\lambda_{2} G\right) p_{1}-\lambda_{3} G p_{2}=q, \Phi_{2}\left(p_{3}\right)-\lambda_{2} G p_{1}+\left(\lambda_{3} H+\lambda_{2} G\right) p_{2}= \\
& -\delta_{2} I p_{1}=P
\end{aligned}
$$

meaning that ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}, h$ ) is a solution of system (1.2) for $M \in \omega_{1}$, result from the definition of $Q$ and (1.3). It can similarily be shown that ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}, h$ ) is a solution of system (1.2) for $M \in \omega_{i}, i=2,3,4$.

The inclusions $\operatorname{supp} p_{i}(M) \subseteq S_{i}(i=1,2)$ are obvious. This completes the proof of the direct part of the theorem.

If ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}, h$ ) is a solution of system (1.2), then it can be seen (by alternately considering the case $M \in \omega_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$ ) that (1.4) can be written thus: $v^{*}=g-\lambda K Q F v^{*}$, i.e. $v^{*}=\left(v_{1}{ }^{*}, v_{2}{ }^{*}, h\right)$ is a solution of (1.3).

Therefore, to solve the above contact problem (1.2) it is sufficient to find the solution $\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{2}^{*}, h\right)$ of system (1.3) since $p_{1}=Q F_{1} v_{1}^{*}, p_{2}=Q F_{8} v_{2}^{*}, S_{1}=\left\{M: v_{1}^{*}>0\right\}, S_{2}=\left\{M: v_{2}^{*}>0\right\}$. Consequently we will henceforth investigate system (1.3).
2. Correctness of the problem. Let $v^{\circ}(M)=\left(v_{1}^{\circ},(M), v_{2}^{\circ}(M)\right)$ be a solution of the system of Hammerstein Eqs.(1.3) for $h=h_{0}$, and let.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=\int_{\Omega} Q\left(F_{1}\left(v_{1}^{\circ}(M)\right)\right) d S_{M} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further assume that the functions $F_{i}(i=1,2)$ satisfy the condition

$$
\left|F_{i}(v)\right| \leqslant c_{*}|v|^{1 / a} ; c_{*}=\text { const, } 0<\alpha \leqslant 1
$$

Theorem 2. If $r=1 \div 1 / \alpha, 1 / 2<\alpha \leqslant 1$ and $P \in\left[0, P_{0}\right]$, then system (1.3) has the unique solution $\left\{v^{*} \in L_{r, 2} ; h \in\left[0, h_{0}\right]\right\}$. Here $h=h(P)\left(0 \leqslant P \leqslant P_{0}\right)$ is a continuous strictly increasing function, and if the function $f(M)$ is continuous, then $v^{*}(M) \in C(\Omega)\left(h_{0}\right.$ is an arbitrary number from the range $\left[0, \infty\right.$ ). The quantity $P_{0}$ defined by (2,1) corresponds to this number. The quantity $C(\Omega)$ is the space of vector functions whose coordinates are continuous functions on $\Omega$ ).

Proof. The operator $K$ is a completely continuous operator from $L_{q, 2}(q=1+\alpha)$ in $L_{q, 2} *=$ $L_{r, 2}(r=1+1 / \alpha) / 7 /$. The contraction $K$ in $L_{2.2}$ is a selfadjoint strictly positive operator. Consequently, there exists a square root $D=K^{1 / 2}$ of the operator $K$ that is a completely continuous operator from $L_{2,2}$ into $L_{q, 2^{*}} / 6 /$. The adjoint operator $D^{*}$ acts from $L_{q, \mathbf{2}^{*}}$ into $L_{\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}}$.

If the change of variable $v=D t+\boldsymbol{g} / 6 /$ is made in the first equation of system (1.3), we obtain instead the equivalent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U t \equiv t+\lambda D^{*} Q F(D t+g)=0 ; t \in L_{2,2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a continuous monotonic and potential operator $U$ (the monotonicity of $U$ follows from the monotonicity of the function $\left.Q F_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)(i=1,2)\right)$.

We find the lower bound of the scalar product $(U t, t)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (U t, t)=(t, t)+\lambda(Q F(D t+g), D t+g)-\lambda(Q F(D t+g), \\
& g) \geqslant(t, t)-\lambda(Q F(D t+g), g) \geqslant(t, t)-\lambda\|g\|_{L_{r, 2}}\|Q F(D t+g)\|_{L_{q, 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the properties of the operators $Q$ and $F_{i}$ as well as the Minkowski inequality, we obtain

$$
\|Q F(D t+g)\|_{L_{q, 2}} \leqslant c_{*}\left(\|D\|\|t\|_{L_{2,2}}+\|g\|_{\tau_{r, 2}}\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

We hence have the estimate

$$
(U t, t) \geqslant\|t\|_{L_{2,2}}^{2}-c_{*} \lambda\|g\|_{L_{r, 2}}\|t\|_{L_{2,2}}^{1 / \alpha}\left(\|D\|+\|g\|_{L_{r, 2}} /\|t\|_{L_{2,2}}\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

and a number $\rho>0$ exists for $\alpha>1 / 2$ such that the inequality $(U t, t)>0$ holds for $\|t\|_{L_{2}, 1} \geqslant$ $\rho$, i.e., on the basis of the Browder-Minty theorem (/6/, p.262), Eq. (2.2) has the solution $t^{*} \in L_{2,2}$ for all $h \in[0, \infty)$, to which the solution $v^{*}=D t^{*}+g$ of the first equation of (1.3) corresponds.

Hence, the existence of the function

$$
P(h)=\int_{Q} Q\left(F_{1}\left(v_{1}^{*}(M)\right)\right) d S_{M} ; \quad h \in[0, \infty)
$$

follows directly.
We show the strict monotonicity and continuity of this function.
Let the vector-functions $v_{1}, v_{2}$ be solutions of the first equation of (1.3) corresponding to the values $h=h_{1}$ and $h=h_{2}$. We introduce the notation

$$
\varepsilon=v_{2}-v_{1}, d=Q F v_{2}-Q F v_{1}, \Delta h=\left(h_{2}-h_{12} 0\right)
$$

We then obtain from (1.3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon+\lambda K d=\Delta h  \tag{2.3}\\
& (\varepsilon, d)+\lambda(K d, d)=\left(\Delta h_{y} d\right) \equiv\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)\left(\rho\left(h_{2}\right)-P\left(h_{1}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $(\varepsilon, d) \geqslant 0$ (a consequence of the monotonicity of the operator $Q F$ ).
Using the assumption that the set $\{M: f(M)=0\} \neq \varnothing$, it can be proved (by reductio absurdum) that $d \neq 0$ for $h_{1} \neq h_{2}$. Hence, it follows from the strict positivity of $K$ (in the sense of the definition given in the introduction) and from (2.3) that $d=0$ just for $h_{1}=h_{2}$. Consequently, for $h_{1} \neq h_{2}$ the left side of the second equation in (2.3) is positive. This implies the strict increase of the function $P(h)$. Furthermore, from the strict positivity of $K$ and the second equation in (2.3) it follows that $d \rightarrow 0$ as $\Delta h \rightarrow 0$ and $P(h)$ is a continuous function. Consequently, also the function $h=h(P)\left(P \in\left[0, P_{0}\right]\right)$ is a strictly increasing continuous function with the domain of values $\left[0, h_{0}\right]$.

The uniqueness of the solution of system (1.3) is an obvious consequence of equalities
(2.3) and the fact that $d=0$ only for $\Delta h=0$.

We will now show that $v^{*} \in C(\Omega)$ for $g \in C(\Omega)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{*}+\lambda K Q F v^{*}=g \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

An alternative is possible: 1) $v^{*} \in L_{r, 2}$ is a discontinuous bounded vector-function;
2) $\nu^{*} \in L_{r, 2}$ is an unbounded vector-function. For the first possibility, the left side of inequality (2.4) is a discontinuous bounded vector-function (since the operator $\lambda K Q F$ converts every bounded vector function into a continuous vector-function), which contradicts the continuity of $g$. If it is taken into account that the function $H$ has a weak singularity while the function $G$ is continuous, then the second possibility results in an analogous contradiction. Consequently $v^{*}$ is a continuous vector-function.

Other conditions for the existence of a solution of system (1.3) can be mentioned. For instance, for $g \in C(\Omega)(0<\alpha \leqslant 1)$ and sufficiently small $\lambda_{i}$ the Schauder principle can be used, as is done in $/ 1 /$.

Different approximate methods $/ 6,8 /$ can be used to solve system (1.3). It should be taken into account here that the operator $Q$ is Fréchet-differentiable only in certain sets $\omega \subset L_{p}$. For instance, (as an operator acting from $C(\Omega) \subset L_{p}(\Omega)$ into $L_{p}(\Omega)$, it is differentiable in the set

$$
\omega=\{v: v \in C(\Omega), \operatorname{mes}\{M: v(M)=0\}=0\}
$$
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# INVERSE CONTACT PROBLEMS OF THE THEORY OF PLASTICITY* 

## V.I. KUZ 'MENKO

A class of inverse contact problems of the theory of plasticity dealing with the determination of the form of a stamp ensuring the prescribed final change in the body shape is studied. The problem is given in the form of a functional equation. The principle of compressive mapping is used to show the existence and uniqueness of the solution, and an iterative process is given for determining the required form of the stamp. A problem dealing with the form of the stamp ensuring the formation of trapezoidal indentations in the strip surface is solved as an example.

1. Formulation of the problem. We shall connect a monotonically increasing parameter $t, t \in[0, T]$, with the process of quasistatic deformation of an elastoplastic body $\Omega \Omega$, and we shall call it time. We use, as the spatial frame of reference, the Cartesian coordinate system $0 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$. The symbols $u_{i}(x, t), \varepsilon_{i j}(x, t), \sigma_{i j}(x, t)$ denote the components of the vector of small displacements and of the small deformations and stress tensors at the point $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, at the instant $t$.

The body $\Omega$ is bounded by a piecewise smooth surface composed of three parts: $\Gamma_{u}, \Gamma_{\mathbf{\sigma}}, \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{c}}$. The body is clamped over the surface $\Gamma_{u}$ and the part $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ is stress-free. The surface $\Gamma_{c}$ is acted upon by the moving stamp. We describe the form of the stamp surface by the function $f(x)$ equal to the distance from the surface $\Gamma_{c}$ to the stamp surface along the normal to $\Gamma_{c}$, at $t=0$. The law of motion of the stamp as a rigid body is assumed given, does not depend on the form of the stamp, and must be chosen so that when $t<t^{*}$, an elastoplastic deformation takes place in the body $\Omega$, while at $t \geqslant t^{*}$ we only have unloading or active elastic deformation. We assume that there is no contact whatsoever between the body and the stamp at $t=T$.
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